1		Virginia Workforce Council
2		At the Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel
3		Virginia Beach, Virginia
4		June 8, 2006
5		Minutes
6		
7	I.	Call to Order - Chair Marjorie M. Connelly
8		Chair Connelly called the meeting to order.
9		
10	II.	Roll Call – Members Present
11		Marjorie Connelly, Chair
12		Mark Dreyfus, Vice Chair
13		Huey Battle
14		Elwood Boone
15		Dolores Esser
16		Richard Gonzalez
17		Secretary Patrick Gottschalk
18		Lovey Hammel
19		Hugh Keogh
20		James Leaman
21		James McClain
22		Senator Yvonne Miller
23		Robert Myers
24		Hiawatha Nicely
25		Doyle Rasnick

1		Rita Ricks
2		The Honorable Don Sullenberger
3		Andrea Wooten
4		
5	III.	Approval of the Minutes – Chair Connelly
6		The minutes of the May 9, 2006 meeting were approved.
7		
8	IV.	Public Comment
9		There were no public comments.
0		
1	V.	Local WIA Funds Recapture Policy - Brian Davis, VEC Staff
12		(continued from 12/6/05 meeting)
13		
14		Chair Connelly stated that the notion of recapturing funds from
15		WIBs after allocating the funds and allowing for carryover time is a
16		hot topic and the time has come to make a decision on what to do.
17		One view is from the local environment. The Council wishes to
18		create no incentive to waste money and to ensure stability in an
19		unstable funding world. The other view is that if there is money
20		that is not being appropriately used it is the Council's fiduciary
21		obligation to recall the funds and use the funds where needed and
22		better used. Both views are legitimate. Chair Connelly stated that a
23		policy has not yet been crafted and suggested that everyone agrees
24		that the objective is to use the funds to benefit the people in the
25		Commonwealth.

Chair Connelly suggested developing a policy that ties the decision to some measurement of performance. If a WIB uses money well and demonstrates that, they are probably also not using unnecessary funds and in those situations the money should stay. Other WIBs may not be managing themselves appropriately and therefore what they don't spend might not be the best use either. Chair Connelly states that a policy must consider legitimate non-uses of funds and allow the Council to be proper stewards of funds. A performance measurement committee has some basic structure in place and will need to use the Council criteria to determine if the stewardship of monies is appropriately handled and if not the funds will be recovered. Chair Connelly requested Council discussion.

A member asked Chair Connelly if there were any current areas that are considered to not be spending the funds appropriately. Chair Connelly stated she didn't know because the definition is still unclear. The member proposed reviewing that closely before looking at any stipulations. Chair Connelly and the member agreed that tying the recapture of funds to performance is a good idea. And that there is a possibility that there may be no groups that aren't good stewards but the Council has an obligation to ensure that is the case. The member stated that he would be very concerned about any fraud, misappropriation or mis-spending that currently exists. Chair Connelly stated that the federal government is auditing each

1	state because of unfortunate situations that happened in other places
2	and there is an upcoming audit.
3	
4	Another member stated that she approved of accountability and
5	rewarding outstanding performance and consequences for poor
6	performance. However, she did not think it would be the best
7	policy to link recapture to performance but would rather handle that
8	through incentives and other means. She suggested looking at
9	obligated funds versus expended funds and that it is reasonable to
10	expect WIBs to obligate virtually all their money by the year-end.
11	
12	Chair Connelly stated that if a WIB cannot spend their allocated
13	money in a way to generate a return and that it is unlikely to happen
14	it would make sense to recapture funds. Another member stated
15	that the accountability needs to go two ways, not just local
16	accountability but state-level accountability. The member also
17	stated that the Council has not done a good job of expending the
18	money and a double standard must not exist and the Council needs
19	to be monitored also. Chair Connelly agreed.
20	
21	A member stated to Chair Connelly that an area that is not properly
22	managed and not spending funds appropriately it doesn't mean that

there is not a need in that area. The member asked whether

appropriate or should another mechanism ensure that the need was

recapturing funds from an area where they are needed be

23

24

25

1	being addressed properly. Chair Connelly stated that she would still
2	recapture the funds from the WIB but maybe another organization
3	could help in this area through a grant.
4	
5	A member stated that accountability should be separated from the
6	money issue. The evaluation process of the WIB is not about the
7	money but about how they are operating. Chair Connelly suggested
8	that it not be a purely quantitative, numeric evaluation.
9	
10	Another member asked Chair Connelly about the scope of the
11	problem in terms of how many WIBs and how many dollars are at
12	risk. Chair Connelly stated that three million dollars is a good
13	estimate.
14	
15	A member commented that retroactive application would not be fair
16	to the WIB director who did not know the consequences as the
17	money was received.
18	
19	Chair Connelly requested general consensus that the Council is
20	going in a reasonable direction to ultimately develop a policy
21	around funds recapture. The Council approved the policy direction.
22	
23	VI. Merger of Northern Shenandoah Valley (Area 5) and Shenandoah
24	Valley (Area 4) local workforce investment areas – Willie Blanton, VEC
25	Staff

Mr. Blanton referred the Council to a proposal to merge local workforce areas four and five which includes a map. The narrative was broken into three parts - background, process and suggested action. He stated that this is a local, regional issue and the state has not had to intervene. Areas four and five have faced issues of low funding for administrative costs resulting in insufficient staff. They have had operational challenges. And more recently the area five director resigned and the operator changed. The local elected officials discussed the possibility of merging those two workforce areas and contacted the state about the correct process. The Council will need to make a recommendation to the Governor for approval of the merger.

Mr. Blanton stated that only two areas – Staunton and Augusta County – have not endorsed this proposal but it is only a matter of time before this will occur. Mr. Blanton expressed appreciation for the local effort to make this happen especially to the leadership of area four for taking the lead on this. The suggested action for the Council is to recommend approval of this proposed merger to the Governor subject to the two remaining jurisdictions agreeing to the merger prior to June 30, 2006. This would allow implementation of the merger on July 1, the most appropriate time for consolidation.

The Council approved the requested action.

VII. <u>Proposed Committee Structure – Chair Connelly</u>

Chair Connelly discussed the proposed committee structure and directed the Council's attention to a handout. The proposed structure is meant to align with the Governor's overall objectives. Chair Connelly stated that several of the previous committees struggled especially since several had few people on them. She proposed that four committees would produce a good level of engagement.

Chair Connelly spoke with four people who agreed to chair the committees. The committee chairs were chosen with regard to diversity – location, background, race and length of Council service. Mark Dreyfus was asked to chair the Workforce Systems Development Committee and has agreed. Rita Ricks will chair the One Stop Committee. Performance and Accountability Committee will be chaired by Huey Battle, who will eventually chair the --- Area. Lovey Hammel, a newcomer to the Council, will chair the Skills Committee. Chair Connelly will chair the Executive Committee, composed of statutorily required members and each committee chair. Chair Connelly requested that the Council think about how they want to participate and email her and the appropriate committee chair. A member asked Chair Connelly for a contact list of the Council and key staff and was informed that there was one in the portfolio. Chair Connelly asked for each member to

participate in one committee and the chairs of each committee will reach out to members they wish to be on their committees. She also requested that the committee structure and chairs be posted on the website.

PY06 Council Meeting Schedule – Chair Connelly

VIII.

Chair Connelly stated that strategic planning this year will be different therefore requiring a different meeting structure. She proposed three normal Council meetings as opposed to four in order to allow for more time for strategic planning activities. The next meeting is planned for October and the dates will be finalized in the next couple of weeks. It is extremely important to have a quorum in light of the fact there will be fewer meetings.

A member stated she attended a National Conference, which included an update on WIA re-authorization. In March 2005, the House had passed a re-authorization bill and it was a consolidation of WIA and the dislocated worker and the job service Wagner-Peyser program. The Senate in May of 2005 passed legislation keeping them all separate. This disagreement has persisted for over a year. In February of 2006 the Bush administration released their 2007 budget and they are creating career advancement accounts. They want to combine the Wagner-Peyser, all the WIA funds, and you would issue somebody a career advancement account if they

needed employment assistance, primarily low income adults, dislocated workers and out of school youth. So they would do away with all the programs in favor of this vouchering type of system. The people at the conference believe that Congress will not do anything with WIA during this election year. Chair Connelly asked about the implications to general funding levels. The member stated the budget showed enormous funding cuts, including a seven percent cut in WIA funds for Virginia and eliminated program funding and will probably have another one to two percent eliminated across the board on top of these cuts. Another thing they are doing is providing funding to a lot of private sector entities with the goal of eliminating the government entities providing the same services.

Another member suggested a performing a long-range financial evaluation in tune with what the Council has been discussing accomplishing over the next three to five years, including a doomsday scenario. Another member agreed and suggested that the Council look toward preserving and increasing the resources and take a more active role with Virginia's Congressional delegation.

Another member brought up that Secretary Schewel at a previous meeting suggested the Council should attempt to receive state funding and that this was an appropriate direction to take. Chair Connelly asked the Council in the short-term to visit their local

1	senator or congressperson and a fact sheet will be given to members
2	to take with them. She also stated that she would try to set up some
3	meetings. Another member said he would get this topic on the table
4	at a luncheon with the congressional delegation in September.
5	
6	Chair Connelly adjourned the meeting.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER
3	
4	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional Reporter
5	and Notary Public for the State of Virginia at large, do hereby certify that I
6	was the court reporter who transcribed the proceedings of the Virginia
7	Workforce Council meeting held on Thursday, June 8, 2006 at The
8	Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel in Virginia Beach, Virginia.
9	I further certify this is a true and accurate transcript to the
10	best of my ability to hear and understand the tapes.
11	Given under my hand thisth day of June, 2006.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Medford W. Howard
18	Registered Professional Reporter
19	Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large
20	
21	
22	
23	My Commission Expires: October 31, 2006.